<u>Memorandum of Understanding for Navigation Dredging in Port Hacking</u>

A letter was sent to several agencies on the 7 August 1997, those agencies were:

- Sutherland Shire Council (Attn: Des Hewitt, Mike Rogers and Mike Fursland)
- DLWC Eric Zvirbulis (Attn: Mike Geary and Mark Porter
- Waterways Authority (Attn: Trevor Williams & Steven Black)

The three Agencies have replied to our correspondence. Their responses have been compiled, see below:

Agency	a) limitation of moorings west of Deeban Spit			d) clear specification of the standard of navigation channels required for the Port, and the objective indicators to be used to signal the requirements for further dredging
Waterways Authority (Stephen Black, p:9524 7899 or 0418 417 032	The number of mooring licences which may be issued in an area by Waterways is achieved through an agreement between Council and Waterways giving the area a total limit	The issue and control of marina berths is by DLWC. The Waterways Authority consent is required on a navigational aspect.	(no response)	(no response)
DLWC (Mark Porter)	(no response)	(no response)	(no response)	The standard of navigation channels is defined clearly in the MOU and adhered to by DLWC.
SSC (Mike Rogers)	Development Applications should be lodged with Council for moorings - this apparently is not occurring. Waterways has designated areas already determined limiting swing moorings.	the number of marina berths is pretty well constrained by the lack of opportunities for sites and also by the lack of availability of space for parking areas. Development Consent is required and larger developments constitute Designated Development for which an EIS is required.	required. Conditions of consent would address environment	The standard is set out in the memorandum. Objective indicators to signal the requirements for further dredging have not, to my knowledge, been determined.

	e) education of the boating community, that the estuary is naturally shoaled and that it is not to be expected that the government and the community be responsible for ongoing access for those who elect to use deep keeled vessels within the Port	reduction of marine pollutants, including those associated with large vessel	controls to prevent noise nuisance from vessels (including	h) A program to ensure that potentially hazardous vessel uses are isolated from more passive recreational uses such as swimming and surfing.
Waterways Authority	When enquiries are made to Waterways regarding channel depths in the Port Hacking, they are advised of the minimal depth that exists in some areas of the port. They are also advised that access cannot be guaranteed to some areas.	authority under the	Control Act 1975 and Noise Control (Marine Vessels) Regulation 1996, where the Waterways has	The Water Traffic Regulations contain rulings, including vessels at speed and the distance that they must remain from other craft and people standing or swimming in the water; and PWC exclusion zones in designated swimming and surf areas. In addition, no power vessel and PWC free zones have been implemented.
DLWC	(no response)	(no response)	(no response)	(no response)

SSC	PHPAC has been	Council has recently	Waterways'	(no response)
	generally facilitating			
	coordination of the	document entitled	Development Consent	
	efforts of the relevant	"Stormwater	conditions would be	
	government	Management Policy	applied to proposed	
	authorities, however,	and Guidelines, July	facilities.	
	Waterways has the	1997". Development		
	primary responsibility	Consent is required		
	in regard to this facet			
	of community	PHPAC is proposing		
	education.	an initiative to have		
		Port Hacking declared		
		a discharge free zone		
		in relation to "through		
		hull" discharge.		

	i)A program to protect the quiet enjoyment of surfers, divers, swimmers etc from the perceived risk and resulting loss of amenity associated with adjacent power craft	regulatory effectiveness, through community involvement, increased resourcing and sharing of powers by regulatory authorities.	deputisation by authorities to create	Waterways User Group, to reflect broader usage patterns and interests
Waterways Authority	(same as h)	NPWS have officers authorised under marine legislation	(Same as j)	The user group consists of many users of the river including Clubs, Local Government bodies, local business and residents. When particular issues arise, representatives from other areas are invited as guests to achieve broader consultation
DLWC	(no response)	(no response)	(no response)	(no response)

SSC	(no response)	Council's	(same as j)	(no response)
000	(110 Tesponse)	Environmental	(Same as j)	(IIO Iespolise)
		Enforcement Officers		
		are authorised as		
		Fisheries Officers		
		under the Fisheries		
		Management Act		
		1994, to assist with		
		protection of intertidal		
		areas (Bundeena,		
		Boat Harbour and		
		National Park off		
		Kurnell only). Can		
		issue Infringement		
		Notices under Clean		
		Waters Act for EPA.		
		Health Inspectors are		
		authorised officers.		
		Council was offered		
		powers by Waterways		
		regarding control of		
		PWCs etc But this		
		was rejected at the		
		time.		

	m) A strong boating code for Port Hacking		education program to engender higher	to identify limits to recreational use or
Waterways Authority	(no response)	(no response)	As part of an ongoing plan, pumpout stations have been implemented into the Port Hacking for the disposal of waste and sewerage. One such example resides at Cronulla Marina	(no response)
DLWC	(no response)	(no response)	(no response)	(no response)
SSC	(no response)	(no response)	Council has a number of programs in place (including Bushcare & Waste Education in schools)	